

Article info

DOI:

Type of article:

022.en.2.1.21-30

E-mail address:

Original research paper

*Corresponding author:

Received: 11/12/2021

Revised: 27/02/2022

Accepted: 01/03/2022

guy.nguyenvan@hust.edu.vn

https://doi.org/10.58845/jstt.utt.2

Journal of Science and Transport Technology Journal homepage: <u>https://jstt.vn/index.php/en</u>



Application of an artificial neural network and QCM sensor coated with γ -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles for estimation of SO₂ gas sensing characteristics

Nguyen Thanh Vinh^{1,2}, Tran Quoc Tuan¹, Nguyen Van Cuong¹, Cao Xuan Truong², Nguyen Van Quy^{2*} ¹University of Transport Technology, No. 54 Trieu Khuc, Thanh Xuan District, Ha Noi 100000, Viet Nam ²International Training Institute for Materials Science. Ha Noi University of

²International Training Institute for Materials Science, Ha Noi University of Science and Technology, No. 1 Dai Co Viet, Hai Ba Trung District, Ha Noi 100000, Viet Nam

Abstract: γ -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles (NPs) were synthesized by co-precipitation method and a following annealing treatment at 200 °C in ambient air for 6 hours. A mass-type sensor was prepared by coating γ -Fe₂O₃ NPs on the active electrode of quartz crystal microbalance (QCM). The obtained results of the γ -Fe₂O₃ NPs based QCM sensor indicate the high response and good repeatability toward SO₂ gas in the range of 2.5 – 20 ppm at room temperature. Moreover, the frequency shift (Δ F) and change in mass of SO₂ adsorption per unit area (Δ m) of the γ -Fe₂O₃ NPs coated QCM sensor have a relationship with the mass density of γ -Fe₂O₃ NPs and SO₂ concentrations. The artificial neural network (ANN) model using Levenberg-Marquardt optimization was used to handle the Δ F and Δ m of the γ -Fe₂O₃ NPs coated QCM sensor. The results of the model validation proved to be a reliable way between the experiment and prediction values.

Keywords: QCM, SO₂, γ -Fe₂O₃, gas sensor, ANN.

1. Introduction

Nowadays, the development of modern society has created many sources of pollution emission. One of the major global concerns is air pollution which puts pressure on governments or countries as well as, negative effects on health and quality of human daily life [1], [2], [3]. Among them, sulfur dioxide (SO₂) is always in the top toxic gases causing respiratory diseases, bronchoconstriction and dyspnea [2], [4], [5]. Therefore, air quality guidelines are developed and continuously updated by the World Health Organization (WHO). The current standard of air quality is 20 µg/m³ (8 ppb) and 500 μ g/m³ (190 ppb) when people expose to SO₂ for 24 hours and 10 minutes, respectively [6]. The immediate danger to life and health (IDLH) values and the short-term exposure limit (TLV-STEL) of SO₂ according to ACGIH are 100 and 5 ppm, respectively [7]. However, according to P.D. Hien's report on the air pollution level of the urban districts of Ha Noi, Viet Nam in 2020, the SO₂ concentrations reached the highest point of 35 μ g/m³ in Hoan Kiem, while Thanh Xuan was 22.5 (μ g/m³) [8]. These pollution levels were higher than those recommended by WHO. Accordingly, SO₂ sensors must be seriously considered and developed. These works could contribute to environmental pollution monitoring and ensuring social guarantee.

Currently, there are many types of SO₂ sensors that have been successfully developed with different operation principles [9], [10], [11], [12]. Among them, the mass-type sensor using quartz crystal microbalance (QCM) is highly appreciated for its low power consumption, good response (nanogram level) and stable operation at room temperature [13], [14]. QCM sensors coated show with iron oxide excellent detection performance to SO₂ gas [15]–[17], and γ -Fe₂O₃ is an outstanding sensing material [18], [19]. So far, numerous researches have been published for the purpose of protease, alcohol and gas classification using QCM sensors, which were supported by machine learning [20]-[23], [24]. The positive results show the considerable application potential of this field. However, machine learning is less common in SO₂ detection studies of QCM sensors in general and QCM coated with y-Fe₂O₃ in particular. Therefore, in this work, the SO₂ sensor was made from γ -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles (NPs) coated on the gold electrode of QCM. The basic characteristics of sensor were investigated, and these experimental data were used for a machine learning. The built algorithm was used to predict the output signals of QCM sensor (frequency shift/ Δ F, and the mass change of adsorption SO₂ per unit area of sensing material/ Δm), these predictions agree with the experimental results after the training process.

2. Experiment

2.1. γ -Fe₂O₃ NPs synthesis

The chemicals used in this experiment were ferric chloride hexahydrate (FeCl₃.6H₂O, > 98%), ferrous chloride tetrahydrate (FeCl₂.4H₂O, > 98%) and sodium hydroxide (NaOH, >98%). These chemicals were purchased from Xilong Scientific Co., Ltd. (Guang-dong, China).

In this work, γ -Fe₂O₃ NPs were synthesized through co-precipitation, followed by annealing treatment. The detail of synthesis process was

described in our previous publication [19]. In brief, the precursors of FeCl₃.6H₂O (0,05 mol) and FeCl₂.4H₂O (0,025 mol) were dissolved in deionized water (DI). 2M NaOH was then added to this mixed solution at 80 °C. The precipitate was dried and calcined at 200 °C in ambient air for 6 hours to obtain y-Fe₂O₃ NPs. The as-prepared y-Fe₂O₃ NPs was dissolved and deposited on the electrode of QCM by using spray-coating method [17]. γ -Fe₂O₃ NPs were crushed and dispersed in DI with a concentration of 1 mg ml⁻¹. In order to fabricate a QCM sensor, 3 ml of dispersed solution was used for each experiment at the flow rate of 0.2 ml min⁻¹. SO₂ sensing characteristics of QCM sensor were performed with the change in y-Fe₂O₃ NPs layer. The number of sensing material layers, y-Fe₂O₃ NPs mass density deposited on the electrode $(m_\gamma - Fe_2O_3)$ and the resonance frequency of QCM (F-resonance) corresponding to each layer are shown in Table 1.

 Table 1. The parameters of QCM sensor was

 fabricated

		labilicated	
No.	Layer	F-resonance	m_γ-Fe ₂ O ₃
		(Hz)	(µg.cm⁻²)
	uncoated	5001725.8	0
1	1 st	4995656.1	107.24
2	2 nd	4989780.1	211.05
3	3 rd	4983067.6	329.65
4	4 th	4978063.8	418.06
5	5 th	4973373.8	500.92
6	6 th	4967813.1	599.16
7	7 th	4962879.0	686.34

The gas sensor properties were investigated with SO₂ gas through a home-made measurement system and QCM200 digital controller linked to PC by using SRSQCM200 software program [25], [26]. XRD pattern of γ -Fe₂O₃ was recorded in the range of 20: 20 - 70° using Cu K α radiation with λ of 0.1542 nm. The morphology of iron oxide was observed by S-4800 Scanning Electron Microscopy device (Hitachi).

2.2. Machine learning for estimation of QCM frequency shift and the mass change of adsorption SO_2 per unit area

2.2.1. Artificial Neural Network

Artificial Neural Network (ANN) is an useful algorithm for solving prediction and classification problems [27], [28]. In this work, the experimental data were used for the development of an ANN model. The Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm was considered the most suitable for ANN with a training dataset [28], [29]. Therefore, ANN based on the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm was used in this study to optimize the searching process for neurons weights and biases.

2.2.2. Cross-fold validation

Cross-validation (CV) is a popular technique to evaluate a model more fully and accurately for the moderate-sized training in the field of machine learning. In k-Fold cross-validation (k-Fold CV), the training dataset is randomly split into subsets of approximately equal size (k is an integer). The machine is trained k times in which one random subset is selected as the validation data, the other subsets (k-1) are selected as the training data for each time. The cross-validation estimate of accuracy results from the average evaluation of all runs [30]. In this work, k = 10 was chosen to split the training dataset due to minor errors and low variances through experimentation [31]. On the other hand, the test dataset checks the model's



response in the final step when dealing with unseen data.

2.2.3. Model evaluation

In this paper, the coefficient of determination (\mathbb{R}^2) and the root of the mean square error ($\mathbb{R}MSE$) are used to evaluate the accuracy of the machine learning model in predicting Δm and ΔF . Precisely, \mathbb{R}^2 shows the square correlation between the predicted value and the actual value, $\mathbb{R}MSE$ index calculates the average of the square of the difference between the predicted value and the actual value. These values are determined by equations (1) [32] and (2) [33]:

$$\begin{split} \text{RMSE} &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\mathbf{e}_{i} - \mathbf{m}_{i} \right)^{2}} \\ \text{R}^{2} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\mathbf{e}_{i} - \overline{\mathbf{e}} \right) \left(\mathbf{m}_{i} - \overline{\mathbf{m}} \right)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\mathbf{e}_{i} - \overline{\mathbf{e}} \right)^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\mathbf{m}_{i} - \overline{\mathbf{m}} \right)^{2}} \end{split}$$

Where ei is the estimated value and mi is the

measured value; e and \overline{m} are the average of estimated value and measured value, respectively.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. The crystalline structure and morphological of as-prepared sample



Fig. 1. (a) XRD, (b) SEM of γ-Fe₂O₃ NPs and image of QCM sensor coated with γ-Fe₂O₃ NPs

Fig. 1 shows the morphological and structural characteristics of γ -Fe₂O₃ material via XRD and SEM results. The XRD pattern of the as-prepared

sample is indicated in Fig. 1a. The strong diffraction peaks at about 30.3° , 35.4° , 43.2° , 53.4° , 57.1° , and 62.6° are well-matched with the (220), (311),

(400), (422), (511) and (440) lattice planes, respectively. In comparison with the standard cards (JCPDS No. 19 - 0629 and No. 39.1346), it is clearly seen that the as-prepared iron oxide material is either Fe_3O_4 or γ - Fe_2O_3 . However, Fe₃O₄ material was transferred to y-Fe₂O₃ at 200 °C due to magnetite oxidation and their similar to crystal structures [18], [34], [35], [36]. Thus, the crystallite of the synthesized iron oxide in this work indicates a crystal structure of y-Fe₂O₃. Fig. 1b shows SEM image of the as-prepared y-Fe₂O₃. The morphology of γ -Fe₂O₃ exhibits a spherical appearance. Although the nanoparticles were slightly agglomerated, the particles individually expose with diameter of 50 nm. In consequence, y-Fe₂O₃ NPs were successfully synthesized by coprecipitation and following calcining in air. Moreover, the mini image of QCM sensor coated with γ -Fe₂O₃ NPs is shown in the insert of the Fig. 1b. As observed in the mini image, the γ -Fe₂O₃ NPs fully covered the electrode.

3.2. Experiment results

The response – recovery curves of QCM sensor with the 1^{st} layer of sensing material towards SO₂ concentration range of 2.5 - 20 ppm are described in Fig. 2. The SO₂ molecules are

adsorbed on sensing materials of γ -Fe₂O₃ NPs via hydrogen bonding and like-hydrogen bonds [18], [19]. Fig. 2a shows the change in mass of SO₂ adsorption per unit area for each on/off cycle of the target gas. It is clear that the Δ m increases with increasing SO₂ concentration. Namely, the adsorptions of SO₂ are 0.004, 0.010, 0.028, 0.047, and 0.065 µg.cm⁻² at 2.5, 5, 10, 15, and 20 ppm of SO₂ concentrations, respectively. The relationship between Δ m and the Δ F of QCM can be determined by Suaerbrey's equation [37].

 $\Delta F = - C_{f} \Delta m$

Where ΔF is in Hertz (Hz), Δm is in μ g cm⁻² and C_f is the sensitivity factor in Hz μ g⁻¹ cm² (C_f = 56.6 Hz μ g⁻¹ cm² for a 5 MHz AT-cut QCM).

Based on equation (3), the resonance frequency of QCM will reduce when SO_2 mass adsorbed on the electrode increases. Similarly, the change in frequency versus time of the sensor towards different SO_2 concentrations is shown in Fig. 2b. The maximum frequency shifts of the QCM sensor towards 2.5, 5, 10, 15 and 20 were about 0.23, 0.69, 1.68, 2.77, and 3.74 Hz, respectively. Thus, the QCM sensor coated with γ -Fe₂O₃ NPs indicated the excellent response to low SO_2 concentrations at room temperature.



Fig. 2. The response – recovery curves of QCM sensor coated with γ -Fe₂O₃: (a) Δm , (b) ΔF

Fig. 3 shows the repeatability of the sensor for four cycles at 10 and 15 ppm in real-time. The characteristics of frequency shift – time curve are similar for all cycles at the same concentration. The results indicate that the γ -Fe₂O₃ NPs sensor has good repeatability for SO₂ gas. Fig. 4 describes the

response signals of sensor at different SO₂ concentrations. The responses not only depend on SO₂ concentration but also the mass density of γ -Fe₂O₃ NPs layer deposited on the electrode of QCM. It is obvious that the increase in Δ F is visibly proportional to the target gas concentration and mass density of the sensing material.



Fig. 3. The repeatability of QCM sensor coated with γ -Fe₂O₃ at (a) 10 and (b) 15 ppm of SO₂ concentration



Fig. 4. The frequency shifts of QCM sensor depend on SO₂ concentration and m_{γ} -Fe₂O₃ NPs deposited on the QCM electrode

3.3. Simulation results

This paper develops an ANN model (with the

3-4-1 architecture) based on experimental data of SO₂ gas sensing properties. The sensing material layer, m y-Fe₂O₃ and SO₂ concentration are input variables, whereas Δm and ΔF are output variables. Fig. 5 shows the distribution and the correlation of the parameters in this study. In addition, Fig. 5 also indicates the correlation of input variables, input and output variables, and output variables. Based on the value of the Pearson correlation coefficient (R), it can be seen that the layer and m_{γ} -Fe₂O₃ have a considerable correlation (R = 1), so they are dependent variables; concentration and m γ -Fe₂O₃, layer are independent variables, these correlations are weak (R = 0.01 and 0.02, respectively). Moreover, the correlation between input variables (layer, m y- Fe_2O_3) and output variables (Δm , ΔF) are moderate (R = 0.52) while SO₂ concentration has a significant correlation with both Δm and ΔF . Especially, the output variables' $(\Delta m \& \Delta F)$ correlation is considerable (R = 1). Based on the analysis of simulation results, Con. of SO₂ and m y-Fe₂O₃ of the data set could increase the accuracy and generality of the prediction model.

The building process of the ANN model is carried out in two stages for 110 experiment samples: (i) the training phase, 10-Fold CV was used during the training phase of the ANN model with 70% dataset; (ii) the testing phase, when ANN model tool achieves the optimal prediction performance, 30% other of the dataset was used to evaluate the predictive performance of ANN on unseen data. The results of the ANN model prediction performance evaluation for output variables are shown in Fig. 6. Ten different simulations were carried out, denoted from CV-1 to CV-10. It is clear that ANN model using Levenberg-Marquardt optimization has very good predictive capability. Namely, CV-2 of ΔF and CV-4 of Δm could be considered the typical model prediction. The R² is the highest while RMSE is the lowest for the test dataset. Thus, the typical prediction results of the ANN model are presented via regression graphs as shown in Fig. 7. The regression model

shows the correlation between predicted ΔF , Δm according to the ANN model and actual values from the experiments for the training dataset (Fig. 7a, c) and the testing dataset (Fig. 7b, d), respectively. The suggested values from the AI model for the training, testing dataset and the values obtained from the experiment are very closely distributed on the diagonal. The performance of this ANN model is evaluated by the statistical index, such as:

RMSE and R². Additionally, the best Δ F, Δ m prediction results of RMSE and R² are 0.4229, 0.007836 and 0.9964, 0.9963 for the training dataset, and these are 0.5492, 0.008226 and 0.9933, 0.9942 for the testing dataset, respectively. The high values of R² and low RMSE of the proposed ANN model indicated the ability to predict accurately and the excellent generalization performance in predicting the outputs.









Fig. 7. The estimated values versus true values for (a) training and (b) testing data of ΔF ; (c) training and (d) testing data of Δm

4. Conclusion

A QCM sensor based on γ -Fe₂O₃ NPs as a

sensing material was fabricated. The sensor exposed high response, good repeatability towards

low concentration of SO₂ at room temperature. The SO₂ gas response of the QCM sensor increases with increasing target gas concentration and mass density of γ -Fe₂O₃. Furthermore, the ANN model using the Levenberg-Marquardt optimization proves the capable and accurate estimation of output (the frequency shift and the change in mass of adsorption SO₂ per unit area) versus input variables (m_ γ -Fe₂O₃ and SO₂ concentration) of QCM sensor. These results bring forward a potential application of machine learning in the field of QCM sensor in the near future.

Acknowledgments

This research is funded by University of Transport Technology (UTT) under grant number 2021-09-DTTDUTT

References

- X.-C. Wang et al. (2019). Air pollution terrain nexus: A review considering energy generation and consumption. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 105, 71-85.
- [2] L.W. Stanek and J.S. Brown. (2019). Air Pollution: Sources, Regulation, and Health Effects. *Reference Module in Biomedical Sciences, Elsevier*, pp. 1-10.
- [3] S. Rajagopalan, S.G. Al-Kindi, and R.D. Brook. (2018). Air Pollution and Cardiovascular Disease. *Journal of the American College of Cardiol*, 72(17), 2054-2070.
- [4] D.P. Rall. (1974). Review of the health effects of sulfur oxides. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 8, 97-121.
- [5] P. Roy, A. Sardar. 2015. SO₂ Emission Control and Finding a Way Out to Produce Sulphuric Acid from Industrial SO₂ Emission. *Journal Chemical Engineering & Process Technology*, 06(2), 1000230.
- [6] M. Krzyzanowski and A. Cohen. (2008). Update of WHO air quality guidelines. *Air Quality, Atmosphere & Health*, 1(1), 7-13.
- [7] National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. (2005). Threshold Limit Values (TLV) and Immediately Dangerous to Life and Health (IDLH) values. *Safe Heal*.
- [8] P.D. Hien, N.T. Men, P.M. Tan, and M.

Hangartner. (2020). Impact of urban expansion on the air pollution landscape: A case study of Hanoi, Vietnam. *Science of the Total Environment*, 702, 134635.

- [9] P. Tyagi. A. Sharma, M. Tomar, and V. Gupta. (2016). Metal oxide catalyst assisted SnO₂ thin film based SO₂ gas sensor. *Sensors and Actuators*, 224, 282-289.
- [10] M. Barzegar Gerdroodbary, D.D. Ganji, I. Shiryanpour, and R. Moradi. (2018). Mass analysis of CH₄/SO₂ gas mixture by lowpressure MEMS gas sensor. *Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering*, 53, 317-328.
- [11] N.D. Hoang, V.V. Cat, M.H. Nam, V.N. Phan, A.T. Le, and N.V. Quy. (2019). Enhanced SO₂ sensing characteristics of multi-wall carbon nanotubes based mass-type sensor using twostep purification process. *Sensors and Actuators A: Phyical*, 295, 696-702.
- [12] Y. Tian, K. Qu, and X. Zeng. (2017). Investigation into the ring-substituted polyanilines and their application for the detection and adsorption of sulfur dioxide. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 249, 423-430.
- [13] A. Alassi, M. Benammar, and D. Brett. (2017). Quartz crystal microbalance electronic interfacing systems: A review. *Sensors*, 17(12), 2799, 1-41.
- [14] N.L. Bragazzi, D. Amicizia, D. Panatto, D. Tramalloni, I. Valle, and R. Gasparini. (2015). Quartz-Crystal Microbalance (QCM) for Public Health: An Overview of Its Applications. Advances in Protein Chemistry and Structural Biology, 101, 149-211.
- [15] N.T. Vinh *et al.* (2021). Dual-functional sensing properties of ZnFe₂O₄ nanoparticles for detection of the chloramphenicol antibiotic and sulphur dioxide gas. *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical*, 332, 113093.
- [16] N.T. Vinh, V.N. Phan, M.H. Nam, A.-T Le, N.V. Quy. (2020). NO₂ gas sensor based on QCM coated with iron oxide nanorods. *Vietnam Journal of Science and Technology*, 58(2), 204-211.
- [17] N.T. Vinh, L.A. Tuan, L.K. Vinh, and N.V.

Quy. 2020). Synthesis, characterization, and gas sensing properties of Fe₃O₄/FeOOH nanocomposites for a mass-type gas sensor. *Materials Science in Semiconductor Processing*, 118, 105211.

- [18] T. V. Nguyen et al. (2021). Effect of the phase composition of iron oxide nanorods on SO₂ gas sensing performance. *Materials Research Bulletin*, 134, 111087.
- [19] N. Thanh Vinh et al. (2021). Effect of ferric ion [Fe3⁺] and [Fe²⁺] on SO₂ adsorption ability of γ -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles for mass-type gas sensors. *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical*, 331(3), 112981.
- [20] M. Tatarko et al. (2018). Machine learning enabled acoustic detection of sub-nanomolar concentration of trypsin and plasmin in solution. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 272, 282-288.
- [21] M.F. Adak, P. Lieberzeit, P. Jarujamrus, and N. Yumusak. (2020). Classification of alcohols obtained by QCM sensors with different characteristics using ABC based neural network. *Engineering Science and Technology, an International Journal*, 23(3), 463-469.
- [22] E. Oleneva, T. Kuchmenko, E. Drozdova, A. Legin, and D. Kirsanov. (2020). Identification of plastic toys contaminated with volatile organic compounds using QCM gas sensor array. *Talanta*, 211, 120701.
- [23] A. Özmen, F. Tekce, M.A. Ebeoğlu, C. Taşaltin, and Z.Z. Öztürk. (2006). Finding the composition of gas mixtures by a phthalocyanine-coated QCM sensor array and an artificial neural network. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 115(1), 450-454.
- [24] N.X. Thai, M. Tonezzer, L. Masera, H. Nguyen, N.V. Duy, and N.D. Hoa. (2020). Multi gas sensors using one nanomaterial, temperature gradient, and machine learning algorithms for discrimination of gases and their concentration. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 1124, 85-93.
- [25] V.A. Minh, L.A. Tuan, T.Q. Huy, V.N. Hung, and N.V. Quy. (2013). Enhanced NH₃ gas sensing properties of a QCM sensor by

increasing the length of vertically orientated ZnO nanorods. *Applied Surface Science*, 265, 458-464.

- [26] S.R. Systems. (2018). QCM200 Digital Controller: Operation and Service Manual. *Operation and Service Manual*, Revision 2.
- [27] B.T. Pham *et al.* (2019). Development of artificial intelligence models for the prediction of Compression Coefficient of soil: An application of Monte Carlo sensitivity analysis. *Science of the Total Environment*, 679, 172-184.
- B. Mumyakmaz, A. Özmen, M.A. Ebeoğlu,
 C. Taşaltin, and I. Gürol. (2010). A study on the development of a compensation method for humidity effect in QCM sensor responses. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 147(1), 277-282.
- [29] M.T. Hagan and M.B. Menhaj. (1994). Training feedforward networks with the Marquardt algorithm. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, 5(6), 989-993.
- [30] R. Kohavi. (1995). A Study of Cross-Validation and Bootstrap for Accuracy Estimation and Model Selection. *International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence* (*IJCAI*), 2, 1137-1143.
- [31] Y. Jung and J. Hu. (2015). A K-fold averaging cross-validation procedure. *Journal of Nonparametric Statistics*, 27(2), 167-179.
- [32] S. Saud, B. Jamil, Y. Upadhyay, and K. Irshad. (2020). Performance improvement of empirical models for estimation of global solar radiation in India: A k-fold cross-validation approach. *Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments*, 40, 100768.
- [33] J. Lee Rodgers and W.A. Nicewander.
 (1988). Thirteen Ways to Look at the Correlation Coefficient. The American Statistician, 42(1), 59-66.
- [34] S.H. Dewi and W.A. Adi. (2018). Synthesis and characterization of high purity Fe_3O_4 and α - Fe_2O_3 from local iron sand. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1091(1), 012021.
- [35] M.I. Dar and S.A. Shivashankar. (2014). Single crystalline magnetite, maghemite, and hematite nanoparticles with rich coercivity. *RSC*

Advances, 4(8), 4105-4113.

- [36] E. Herrero et al. (1997). Influence of synthesis conditions on the γ -Fe₂O₃ properties. *Solid State Ionics*, 101-103, 213-219.
- [37] G. Sauerbrey. (1959). Verwendung von Schwingquarzen zur Wägung dünner Schichten und zur Mikrowägung. *Zeitschrift für Physik*, 155(2), 206-222.